IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

CAREDA, INC.	
and	
THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF THE LELAND STANFORD JUNIOR UNIVERSITY, Plaintiffs,	C.A. No. 19-cv-567-CFC-CJB CONSOLIDATED
v.	
NATERA, INC.,	
Defendant.	

SECOND SCHEDULING ORDER

This _____ day of ________, 2021, the Court having conducted a second Rule 16 scheduling and planning conference pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 16(b) and Local Rule 16.1 on January 21, 2020, and the parties having determined after discussion that the matter cannot be resolved at this juncture by settlement, voluntary mediation, or binding arbitration;

IT IS ORDERED that:

CADEDY INC

- 1. Rule 26(a)(1) Initial Disclosures and E-Discovery Default Standard. The parties have made their initial disclosures. If they have not already done so, the parties are to review the Court's Default Standard for Discovery, Including Discovery of Electronically Stored Information ("ESI"), which is posted on Magistrate Judge Burke's section of the Court's website (http://www.ded.uscourts.gov) under the "Guidelines" tab, and is incorporated herein by reference.
- 2. **Joinder of Other Parties and Amendment of Pleadings**. All motions to join other parties, and to amend or supplement the pleadings shall be filed on or before **June 7, 2021**.

- 3. **Application to Court for Protective Order**. A protective order has been entered by the Court. (D.I. 66).
- 4. **Papers Filed Under Seal**. When filing papers under seal, counsel shall follow the District Court's policy on Filing Sealed Civil Documents in CM/ECF and section G of the Administrative Procedures Governing Filing and Service by Electronic Means. A redacted version of any sealed document shall be filed electronically within seven (7) days of the filing of the sealed document.

Should any party intend to request to seal or redact all or any portion of a transcript of a court proceeding (including a teleconference), such party should expressly note that intent at the start of the court proceeding. Should the party subsequently choose to make a request for sealing or redaction, it must, promptly after the completion of the transcript, file with the Court a motion for sealing/redaction, and include as attachments (1) a copy of the complete transcript highlighted so the Court can easily identify and read the text proposed to be sealed/redacted, and (2) a copy of the proposed redacted/sealed transcript. With its request, the party seeking redactions must demonstrate why there is good cause for the redactions and why disclosure of the redacted material would work a clearly defined and serious injury to the party seeking redaction.

- 5. <u>Courtesy Copies</u>. Other than with respect to "discovery matters," which are governed by Paragraph 8(g), and the final pretrial order, which is governed by Paragraph 19, the parties shall provide to the Court two (2) courtesy copies of all briefs and one (1) courtesy copy of any other document filed in support of any briefs (i.e., appendices, exhibits, declarations, affidavits etc.). This provision also applies to papers filed under seal.
- 6. <u>ADR Process</u>. Having discussed the ADR process during the Rule 16 scheduling conference, the Court will schedule one or more teleconferences to discuss ADR with the parties

during the pendency of this case.

- 7. **Disclosures**. Absent agreement among the parties, and approval of the Court:
- a. By [CareDx's Propsoal: December 22, 2020; Natera's Proposal: January 4, 2021], Defendant shall produce its initial invalidity contentions for each asserted claim, as well as the known related invalidating references.¹
 - b. By **June 14, 2021**, Plaintiffs shall provide final infringement contentions.
 - c. By **June 28, 2021,** Defendant shall provide final invalidity contentions.
- 8. **Discovery**. Unless otherwise ordered by the Court, the limitations on discovery set forth in Local Rule 26.1 shall be strictly observed.
- a. <u>Outstanding Discovery Issues</u>. The parties shall exchange their responses to all discovery requests that were outstanding prior to the Court's entry of the discovery stay no later than [CareDx's Proposal: December 18, 2020; Natera's Proposal: January 14, 2021].
- b. <u>Discovery Cut Off.</u> All fact discovery in this case shall be initiated so that it will be completed on or before **July 12, 2021**.
- c. <u>Document Production</u>. Document production shall be substantially complete by **May 14, 2021.** The parties agree to meet and confer in good faith at an appropriate time in order to discuss any modifications to the District of Delaware Default Standard.
- d. <u>Requests for Admission</u>. A maximum of 50 requests for admission are permitted for each side. Each side is permitted an unlimited number of requests for admission for authentication of documents, subject to objections based on undue burden. Requests for

¹ For purposs of this scheduling order, the parties shall each be considered a plaintiff for the patent(s) they are asserting and the opposing party shall be considered a defendant for those same patent(s).

3

admission directed to document authentication shall be clearly denoted as such, and shall be served separately from any requests for admission subject to the numerical limitations stated above.

e. <u>Interrogatories</u>.

- i. A maximum of 25 interrogatories, including contention interrogatories, are permitted for each side.
- ii. The Court encourages the parties to serve and respond to contention interrogatories early in the case. In the absence of agreement among the parties, contention interrogatories, if filed, shall first be addressed by the party with the burden of proof. The adequacy of all interrogatory answers shall, in part, be judged by the level of detail each party provides; i.e., the more detail a party provides, the more detail a party shall receive.
- f. <u>Depositions</u>. The length of depositions shall be controlled by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure unless otherwise agreed by the parties.
- i. <u>Limitation on Hours for Deposition Discovery</u>. Each side is limited to a total of **70** hours of taking testimony by deposition upon oral examination, exclusive of time devoted to expert depositions.
- ii. <u>Location of Depositions</u>. Any party or representative (officer, director, or managing agent) of a party filing a civil action in this district court must ordinarily be required, upon request, to submit to a deposition at a place designated within this district. Exceptions to this general rule may be made by order of the Court. A defendant who becomes a counterclaimant, cross-claimant, or third-party plaintiff shall be considered as having filed an action in this Court for the purpose of this provision.
 - g. Discovery Matters and Disputes Relating to Protective Orders.

- i. Any discovery motion filed without first complying with the following procedures will be denied without prejudice to renew pursuant to these procedures.
- ii. Should counsel find, after good faith efforts—including *verbal* communication among Delaware and Lead Counsel for all parties to the dispute—that they are unable to resolve a discovery matter or a dispute regarding a protective order (other than that involving the initial drafting of a protective order, which is discussed further below), the parties involved in the discovery matter or protective order dispute shall submit a joint letter in substantially the following form:

Dear Judge Burke:

The parties in the above-referenced matter write to request the scheduling of a discovery teleconference.

The following attorneys, including at least one Delaware Counsel and at least one Lead Counsel per party, participated in a verbal meet-and-confer (in person and/or by telephone) on the following date(s):

Delaware Counsel:
Lead Counsel:
The disputes requiring judicial attention are listed below:
[provide here a non-argumentative list

iii. The moving party (i.e., the party seeking relief from the Court) should also file a "Motion For Teleconference To Resolve Discovery Dispute." The suggested text for this motion can be found in Magistrate Judge Burke's section of the Court's website, in the "Forms" tab, under the heading "Discovery Matters -- Motion to Resolve Discovery Dispute."

of disputes requiring judicial attention]

The Court will thereafter set a discovery telephone conference. Not iv. less than ninety-six (96) hours prior to the teleconference, excluding weekends and holidays, the moving party shall file with the Court a letter, not to exceed four (4) pages, in no less than 12point font, outlining the issues in dispute and its position on those issues. This submission shall include: (1) a proposed order, attached as an exhibit, setting out the nature of the relief requested of the Court; and (2) to the extent that the dispute relates to responses to certain discovery requests, an attached exhibit (or exhibits) containing the requests and the responses in dispute. Not less than forty-eight (48) hours prior to the teleconference, excluding weekends and holidays, any party opposing the application for relief may file a letter, not to exceed four (4) pages, in no less than 12-point font, outlining that party's reasons for its opposition. To the extent that factual issues are disputed or are otherwise central to the Court's analysis, the parties shall attach as an exhibit (or exhibits) sworn declarations or affidavits regarding those issues. Each party shall submit two (2) courtesy copies of its discovery letter and any attachments to the Clerk's Office within one hour of e-filing.

Should the Court find further briefing necessary upon the conclusion v. of the telephone conference, the Court will order it. Alternatively, the Court may choose to resolve the dispute prior to the telephone conference and will, in that event, cancel the conference.

vi. Should counsel find, after good faith efforts—including verbal communication among Delaware and Lead Counsel for all parties to the dispute—that they are unable to resolve a dispute regarding the initial drafting of a protective order, the parties involved in the dispute shall submit a joint letter in substantially the following form:

Dear Judge Burke:

The parties in the above-referenced matter write to request the scheduling of a teleconference to resolve protective order dispute.

The following attorneys, including at least one Delaware Counsel and at least one Lead Counsel per party, participated in a verbal meet-and-confer (in person and/or by telephone) on the following date(s):

Delaware Counsel:
Lead Counsel:
The disputes magnining indicial attention
The disputes requiring judicial attention

are listed below:

[provide here a non-argumentative list of disputes requiring judicial attention]

vii. The parties shall also file a "Joint Motion For Teleconference To Resolve Protective Order Dispute." The suggested text for this motion can be found in Magistrate Judge Burke's section of the Court's website, in the "Forms" tab, under the heading "Discovery Matters -- Joint Motion to Resolve Protective Order Dispute."

viii. The Court will thereafter set a protective order dispute teleconference. Not less than ninety-six (96) hours prior to the teleconference, excluding weekends and holidays, each party shall file with the Court a letter, not to exceed two (2) pages, in no less than 12-point font, outlining the issues in dispute and its position on those issues. This submission should include the party's proposal as to how the content of the disputed portion(s) of the protective order should read. Not less than forty-eight (48) hours prior to the teleconference, excluding weekends and holidays, each party may file a letter, not to exceed two (2) pages, in no less than 12-point font, outlining that party's response to the opposing party's initial letter. Each party shall submit two (2) courtesy copies of its protective order dispute letter and any attachments to the Clerk's Office within one hour of e-filing.

ix. Should the Court find further briefing necessary upon the conclusion of the telephone conference, the Court will order it. Alternatively, the Court may choose to resolve the dispute prior to the telephone conference and will, in that event, cancel the conference.

9. **Motions to Amend**.

- a. Any motion to amend (including a motion for leave to amend) a pleading shall *NOT* be accompanied by an opening brief but shall, instead, be accompanied by a letter, not to exceed three (3) pages, describing the basis for the requested relief, and shall attach the proposed amended pleading as well as a "blackline" comparison to the prior pleading.
- b. Within seven (7) days after the filing of a motion in compliance with this Order, any party opposing such a motion shall file a responsive letter, not to exceed five (5) pages.
- c. Within three (3) days thereafter, the moving party may file a reply letter, not to exceed two (2) pages, and, by this same date, the parties shall file a letter requesting a teleconference to address the motion to amend.

10. **Motions to Strike**.

- a. Any motion to strike any pleading or other document shall *NOT* be accompanied by an opening brief but shall, instead, be accompanied by a letter, not to exceed three (3) pages, describing the basis for the requested relief, and shall attach the document to be stricken.
- b. Within seven (7) days after the filing of a motion in compliance with this Order, any party opposing such a motion shall file a responsive letter, not to exceed five (5) pages.
- c. Within three (3) days thereafter, the moving party may file a reply letter, not to exceed two (2) pages, and, by this same date, the parties shall file a letter requesting a teleconference to address the motion to strike.

- 11. **Interim Status Report**. On **July 19, 2021**, counsel shall submit a joint letter to the Court with an interim report on the nature of the matters in issue and the progress of discovery to date. Thereafter, if the Court deems it necessary, it will schedule a status conference.
- 12. **Supplementation**. Absent agreement among the parties, and approval of the Court, no later than **May 28, 2021**, the parties must finally supplement, *inter alia*, the identification of all accused products and of all invalidity references.
- 13. Claim Construction Issue Identification. On or before January 11, 2021, the parties shall exchange a list of those claim term(s)/phrase(s) that they believe need construction and their proposed claim construction of those term(s)/phrase(s). This document will not be filed with the Court. Subsequent to exchanging that list, the parties will meet and confer to prepare a Joint Claim Construction Chart to be filed no later than [CareDx's Proprosal: January 21, 2021: Natera's Proposal: January 25, 2021]. The Joint Claim Construction Chart, in Word format, shall be e-mailed simultaneously with filing to cfc civil@ded.uscourts.gov. The text for the Joint Claim Construction Chart shall be 14-point and in Times New Roman or a similar typeface. The parties' Joint Claim Construction Chart should identify for the Court the term(s)/phrase(s) of the claim(s) in issue and should include each party's proposed construction of the disputed claim language with citation(s) only to the intrinsic evidence in support of their respective proposed constructions. A separate text-searchable PDF of each of the patent(s) in issue shall be submitted with this Joint Claim Construction Chart. In this joint submission, the parties shall not provide argument. Each party shall file concurrently with the Joint Claim Construction Chart a "Motion for Claim Construction" that requests the Court to adopt the claim construction position(s) of that party set forth in the Joint Claim Construction Chart. The motion shall not contain any argument and shall simply state that the party "requests that the Court adopt the claim construction

position[s] of [the party] set forth in the Joint Claim Construction Chart (D.I. [])."

14. Claim Construction Briefing. The Plaintiff shall serve, but not file, its opening brief, not to exceed 4,000 words, on February 12, 2021. The Defendant shall serve, but not file, its answering brief, not to exceed 6,000 words, on March 5, 2021. The Plaintiff shall serve, but not file, its reply brief, not to exceed 4,000 words, on March 24, 2021. The Defendant shall serve, but not file, its sur-reply brief, not to exceed 2,000 words, on April 4, 2021. The text for each brief shall be 14-point and in Times New Roman or a similar typeface. Each brief must include a certification by counsel that the brief complies with the type and number limitations set forth above. The person who prepares the certification may rely on the word count of the wordprocessing system used to prepare the brief.²

No later than April 12, 2021, the parties shall file a Joint Claim Construction Brief. The parties shall copy and paste their untitled briefs into one brief, with their positions on each claim term in sequential order, in substantially the form below.

JOINT CLAIM CONSTRUCTION BRIEF

- I. Agreed-upon Constructions
- II. **Disputed Constructions**
 - A. [TERM 1]
 - 1. Plaintiffs Opening Position
 - 2. Defendant's Answering Position
 - 3. Plaintiff's Reply Position
 - 4. Defendant's Sur-Reply Position
 - В. [TERM2]

Because both CareDx and Natera are asserting patents in this action, the parties seek an increase in the total word count for all Markman briefs to 32,000 words, from 20,000 words, with a maximum of 16,000 words for each party's patents. Consistent with footnote 1, each side will file opening, answering, reply, and sur-reply briefs.

- 1. Plaintiffs Opening Position
- 2. Defendant's Answering Position
- 3. Plaintiffs Reply Position
- 4. Defendant's Sur-Reply Position

Etc. The parties need not include any general summaries of the law relating to claim construction. If there are any materials that would be submitted in an appendix, the parties shall submit them in a Joint Appendix. Citations to intrinsic evidence shall be set forth in the Joint Claim Construction Brief. Citations to expert declarations and other extrinsic evidence may be made in the Joint Claim Construction Brief as the parties deem necessary, but the Court will review such extrinsic evidence only if the Court is unable to construe the disputed claim terms based on the intrinsic evidence. *See Vitronics Corp. v. Conceptronic, Inc.*, 90 F.3d 1576, 1584 (Fed. Cir. 1996). Declarations shall not contain legal argument or be used to circumvent the briefing word limitations imposed by this paragraph. The Joint Claim Construction Brief and Joint Appendix shall comply with paragraphs 10 and 14 of this Order.

April 19. 2021, local and lead counsel for the parties shall meet and confer and thereafter file an Amended Joint Claim Construction Chart that sets forth the terms that remain in dispute. During the meet and confer, the parties shall attempt to reach agreement on any disputed terms where possible and to narrow the issues related to the remaining disputed terms. The parties shall file with the Amended Joint Claim Construction Chart a letter that identifies by name each individual who participated in the meet and confer, when and how (i.e., by telephone or in person) the meet and confer occurred, and how long it lasted. If no agreements on constructions have been reached or if no dispute has been narrowed as a result of the meet and confer, the letter shall so state and the parties need not file an Amended Joint Claim Construction Chart.

16. **Hearing on Claim Construction**. Beginning at 9:00 a.m. on ___

[Parties Propose: April 26, 2021], the Court will hear argument on claim construction. Absent prior approval of the Court (which, if it is sought, must be done by joint letter submission no later than the date on which answering claim construction briefs are due to be served), the parties shall not present testimony at the argument, and the argument shall not exceed a total of three hours.

17. **Disclosure of Expert Testimony**.

- (a) Expert Reports. For the party with the initial burden of proof on the subject matter, the initial Federal Rule 26(a)(2) disclosure of expert testimony is due on or before **August 9, 2021**. The supplemental disclosure to contradict or rebut evidence on the same matter identified by another party is due on or before **September 7, 2021**. Reply expert reports from the party with the initial burden of proof are due on or before **September 20, 2021**. No other expert reports will be permitted without either the consent of all parties or leave of the Court, with the exception of declarations in support of claim construction briefing. Along with the submissions of the expert reports, the parties shall provide the dates and times of their experts' availability for deposition. Depositions of experts shall be completed on or before **October 11, 2021**.
- (b) Objections to Expert Testimony. To the extent any objection to expert testimony is made pursuant to the principles announced in *Daubert v. Merrell Dow Pharmaceuticals, Inc.*, 509 U.S. 579 (1993), as incorporated in Federal Rule of Evidence 702, it shall be made by motion no later than the deadline for dispositive motions set forth herein, unless otherwise ordered by the Court.

18. <u>Case Dispositive Motions</u>.

- No early motions without leave. All case dispositive motions, an opening (a) brief, and affidavits, if any, in support of the motion shall be served and filed on or before [CareDx's Proposal: October 25, 2021; Natera's Proposal: November 3, 2021]. No case dispositive motion under Rule 56 may be filed more than ten days before the above date without leave of the Court. Responsive briefs shall be filed no later than [CareDx's Proposal: November 12, 2021; Natera's Proposal: December 2, 2021]. Reply briefs shall be filed no later than [CareDx's Proposal: November 23, 2021; Natera's Proposal: December 23, 2021].
- (b) Motions to be Filed Separately. A party shall not combine multiple motions seeking separate and distinct relief into a single motion.
- (c) Word limits combined with *Daubert* motion word limits. Each party is permitted to file as many case dispositive motions as desired; provided, however, that each SIDE will be limited to a combined total of 10,000 words for all opening briefs, a combined total of 10,000 words for all answering briefs, and a combined total of 5,000 words for all reply briefs regardless of the number of case dispositive motions that are filed. In the event that a party files, in addition to a case dispositive motion, a *Daubert* motion to exclude or preclude all or any portion of an expert's testimony, the total amount of words permitted for all case dispositive and *Daubert* motions shall be increased for each SIDE to 12,500 words for all opening briefs, 12,500 words for all answering briefs, and 6,250 words for all reply briefs. The text for each brief shall be 14- point and in Times New Roman or a similar typeface. Each brief must include a certification by counsel that the brief complies with the type and number limitations set forth above. The person who prepares the certification may rely on the word count of the word-processing system used to prepare the brief.

- judgment shall be accompanied by a separate concise statement detailing each material fact as to which the moving party contends that there are no genuine issues to be tried that are essential for the Court's determination of the summary judgment motion (not the entire case). Any party who opposes the motion shall file and serve with its opposing papers a separate document containing a single concise statement that admits or disputes the facts set forth in the moving party's concise statement, as well as sets forth all material facts as to which it is contended there exists a genuine issue necessary to be litigated.
- focus of the Concise Statement. When preparing the separate concise statement, a party shall reference only the material facts that are absolutely necessary for the Court to determine the limited issues presented in the motion for summary judgment (and no others), and each reference shall contain a citation to a particular affidavit, deposition, or other document that supports the party's interpretation of the material fact. Documents referenced in the concise statement may, but need not, be filed in their entirety if a party concludes that the full context would be helpful to the Court (e.g., a deposition miniscript with an index stating what pages may contain key words may often be useful). The concise statement shall particularly identify the page and portion of the page of the document referenced. The document referred to shall have relevant portions highlighted or otherwise emphasized. The parties may extract and highlight the relevant portions of each referenced document, but they shall ensure that enough of a document is attached to put

³ A party does not satisfy the requirements of this paragraph by stating that an accused instrumentality infringes an asserted claim or asserted claim limitation. The party must detail each material fact in its concise statement of facts. The concise statements of facts play an important gatekeeping role in the Court's consideration of summary judgment motions.

the matter in context. If a party determines that an entire deposition transcript should be submitted, the party should consider whether a miniscript would be preferable to a full-size transcript. If an entire miniscript is submitted, the index of terms appearing in the transcript must be included, if it exists. When multiple pages from a single document are submitted, the pages shall be grouped in a single exhibit. Concise statements of fact shall comply with paragraphs 10 and 14 of Judge Connolly's Scheduling Order for Patent Cases in Which Infringement is Alleged.

- (f) **Word Limits for Concise Statement**. The concise statement in support of or in opposition to a motion for summary judgment shall be no longer than 1,750 words. The text for each statement shall be 14-point and in Times New Roman or a similar typeface. Each statement must include a certification by counsel that the statement complies with the type and number limitations set forth above. The person who prepares the certification may rely on the word count of the word-processing system used to prepare the statement.
- **Affidavits and declarations**. Affidavits or declarations setting forth facts (g) and/or authenticating exhibits, as well as exhibits themselves, shall be attached only to the concise statement (i.e., not briefs).
- Scope of Judicial Review. When resolving motions for summary (h) judgment, the Court shall have no independent duty to search and consider any part of the record not otherwise referenced in the separate concise statements of the parties. Further, the Court shall have no independent duty to review exhibits in their entirety, but rather will review only those portions of the exhibits specifically identified in the concise statements. Material facts set forth in the moving party's concise statement will be deemed admitted

unless controverted by a separate concise statement of the opposing party.

- 19. **Applications by Motion**. Except as otherwise specified herein, any application to the Court shall be by written motion. Any non-dispositive motion should contain the statement required by Local Rule 7.1.1.
- Proposal: March 25, 2022], the Court will hold a Rule 16(e) final pretrial conference in court with counsel. The parties shall file a joint proposed final pretrial order in compliance with Local Rule 16.3(c) no later than 5:00 p.m. on the third business day before the date of the final pretrial conference. Unless otherwise ordered by the Court, the parties shall comply with the timeframes set forth in Local Rule 16.3(d) for the preparation of the proposed joint final pretrial order. The joint pretrial order shall comply with paragraphs 10 and 14 of Judge Connolly's Scheduling Order for Patent Cases in Which Infringement is Alleged.
- Motions in Limine. Motions in limine shall not be separately filed. All in limine requests and responses thereto shall be set forth in the proposed pretrial order. Each party shall be limited to three in limine requests, unless otherwise permitted by the Court. Each in limine request and any response shall contain the authorities relied upon; each in limine request may be supported by a maximum of three pages of argument and may be opposed by a maximum of three pages of argument, and the party making the in limine request may add a maximum of one additional page in reply in support of its request. If more than one party is supporting or opposing an in limine request, such support or opposition shall be combined in a single three- page submission (and, if the moving party, a single one-page reply). No separate briefing shall be submitted on in limine requests, unless otherwise permitted by the Court. Motions in limine shall comply with paragraphs 10 and 14 of Judge Connolly's Scheduling Order for Patent Cases in Which Infringement is

Alleged.

- Compendium of Cases. A party may submit with any briefing two courtesy copies of a compendium of the selected authorities on which the party would like the Court to focus. The parties should not include in the compendium authorities for general principles or uncontested points of law (e.g., the standards for summary judgment or claim construction). An authority that is cited only once by a party generally should not be included in the compendium. An authority already provided to the Court by another party should not be included in the compendium. Compendiums of cases shall not be filed electronically with the Court, but a notice of service of a compendium of cases shall be filed electronically with the Court. Compendiums shall comply with paragraph 14 of Judge Connolly's Scheduling Order for Patent Cases in Which Infringement is Alleged.
- Jury Instructions, Voir Dire and Special Verdict Forms. Where a case is to be tried to a jury, pursuant to Local Rules 47.1(a)(2) and 51.1, the parties should file (i) proposed voir dire, (ii) preliminary jury instructions, (iii) final jury instructions, and (iv) special verdict forms no later than 5:00 p.m. on the third business day before the date of the final pretrial conference. The parties shall submit simultaneously with filing each of the foregoing four documents in Word format to cfc_civil@ded.uscourts.gov.
- Trial. This matter is scheduled for a five-day trial beginning at 9:00 a.m. on [CareDx's Proposal: March 6, 2022; Natera's Proposal: April 25, 2022], with the subsequent trial days beginning at 9:00 a.m. Until the case is submitted to the jury for deliberations, the jury will be excused each day at 4:30 p.m. Each trial will be timed, as counsel will be allocated a total number of hours in which to present their respective cases.
 - 25. ADR Process. This matter is referred to a magistrate judge to explore the

possibility of alternative dispute resolution.

The Honorable Christopher J. Burke United States Magistrate Judge